

Supplementary Appendix. Estimation methods and calculations used to derive the tuberculosis care cascade in Zambia in 2018.

Table 1. Overall TB Care Cascade in Zambia in 2018

Variable	Cases, range	Proportion (%)	Estimation method	Calculation
Step 1. TB burden	72,495 (40,495 - 111,495)	100	WHO 2019 analysis of TB incidence in 2018 plus 50% of the number of undetected cases from 2017. ¹	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TB incidence, 2018 (all): 60,000 • TB incidence, 2017 (all): 61,000 • Case detection rate, 2017: 59.0% • Estimated undetected cases 2017: 24,990 • 50% of undetected cases who have not died/self-cured: 12,495
Gap 1	29,108 (0-66,777)	40.2	Step 1 estimated cases minus Step 2 estimated cases.	
Step 2. Accessed tests	43,387 (95%CI: 42,390-44,718)	59.8	Add DS-TB and RR-TB cases that accessed TB testing (see Tables 2 and 3 for estimates).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DS-TB: 42,477 (95%CI: 41,614-43,625) • RR-TB: 910 (95%CI: 776-1,093)
Gap 2	3,211 (95%CI: 2,262-4,506)	4.4	Step 2 estimated cases minus Step 3 estimated cases.	
Step 3. Diagnosed	40,176 (95%CI: 40,128-40,212)	55.4	Add DS-TB and RR cases diagnosed (see Tables 2 and 3 for estimates).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DS-TB: 39,549 (95%CI: 39,501-39,585) • RR-TB: 627
Gap 3	3,745 (95%CI: 3,697-3,781)	5.2	Step 3 estimated cases minus Step 4 estimated cases.	
Step 4. Notified and treated	36,431	50.3	Add DS-TB and RR cases notified and treated (see Tables 2 and 3 for estimates).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DS-TB: 35,922 • RR-TB: 509
Gap 4	3,731	5.1	Step 4 estimated cases minus Step 5 estimated cases.	
Step 5. Successfully treated	32,700	45.1	Add DS-TB and RR cases successfully treated (see Tables 2 and 3 for estimates).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DS-TB: 32,304 • RR-TB: 396

¹Estimate from: World Health Organization. Tuberculosis data. Available from: <https://www.who.int/teams/global-tuberculosis-programme/data>.

Table 2a. Drug-susceptible TB Care Cascade in Zambia in 2018

Variable	Cases, range	Proportion (%)	Estimation method	Calculation
Step 1. Overall TB burden	70,755 (40,009-107481)	100	Overall TB burden minus RR-TB cases.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TB burden: 72,495 (40,495-111,495) • RR cases: 1740 (486-4014)
Gap 1	28,278 (0-63,856)	40.0	Step 1 estimated cases minus Step 2 estimated cases.	
Step 2. Accessed tests	42,477 (95%CI: 41,614-43,625)	60.0	<p>Add the number of missed cases to the total number of DS-TB cases diagnosed (step 3).</p> <p>Missed cases estimated based upon TB test sensitivity by HIV-status, corrected for the number of patients with negative TB tests who were empirically treated (Table 2b).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number diagnosed: 39,549 (95%CI: 39,501-39,585) • Number missed: 2,928 (95%CI: 2,112-4,040)
Gap 2	2,928 (95%CI: 2,112-4,040)	4.1	Step 2 estimated cases minus Step 3 estimated cases.	
Step 3. Diagnosed with TB	39,549 (95%CI: 39,501-39,585)	55.9	<p>Back calculated from number of cases notified and proportion of patients lost-to-follow-up prior to initiation of TB therapy.</p> <p>Pre-treatment LTFU estimated based on difference between number of microbiologically confirmed DS PTB cases detected and number of microbiologically confirmed DS PTB cases notified (Table 2c).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pre-treatment LTFU estimate: = 9.2 (95%CI: 9.1-9.3) • Number of patients notified in 2018: 35,922
Gap 3	3,627 (95%CI: 3,579-3,663)	5.1	Step 3 estimated cases minus Step 4 estimated cases.	
Step 4. Notified and treated for TB	35,922	50.8	Exact value from aggregated facility-level TB notification data.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All patients with DS-TB who were notified and started on treatment (including new, relapse, treatment after failure, treatment after loss-to-follow-up patients and other previously treated cases).
Gap 4	3,618	5.1	Step 4 estimated cases minus Step 5 estimated cases	
Step 5. Successfully treated for TB.	32,304	45.7	Exact value from aggregated facility-level TB treatment outcomes data.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All patients with DS-TB who successfully completed TB therapy (including new, relapse, treatment after failure, treatment after loss-to-follow-up patients and other previously treated cases).

Table 2b. Estimation method for determining number of patients with DS-TB who accessed TB testing in 2018

Variable	HIV-positive	HIV-negative	Overall
Total number of all microbiologically-confirmed TB cases (who therefore underwent microbiological tests) ¹	8,025 (PTB) + 320 (EPTB) = 8,345	9,803 (PTB)+1,137 (EPTB) = 10,940	19,285
Number of the above who underwent Xpert ¹	7,320	9,071	16,391
Number who underwent smear ¹	1,025	1,869	2,894
Proportion who underwent smear only (were smear-positive but Xpert either not done, or negative) ²	96.9% (95%CI: 95.6-98.0)	98.1% (95%CI: 97.1-98.8)	97.7% (95%CI:96.9-98.3)
Number who underwent smear only	1,025 x .969% (95%CI: .956-.980) = 993 (95%CI: 980-1,005)	1,869 x .981% (95%CI: .971-.988) = 1,833 (95%CI: 1815-1,847)	-
Sensitivity of Xpert ³	81% (95%CI 75-86)	88% (95%CI: 83-92)	85% (95%CI: 82-88)
Cases missed by Xpert	7,320/ .81 (95%CI .75-.86) - 7,320 = 1,717 (95CI: 1,192-2,440)	9,071 / .88 (95%CI: .83-.92)- 9,071 = 1,237 (95%CI: 789-1,858)	2,594 (95%CI: 1,980-4,298)
Sensitivity of smear microscopy ^{4,5}	50% (95%CI:42-57)	76% (95%CI: 70-80)	-
Cases missed by smear	993/0.50 (95%CI:0.42-0.57)- 993 = 1,025 (95%CI: 773-1,415)	1,833/0.76 (0.70-0.80)-1,833 = 590 (95%CI: 467-801)	1,615 (95%CI: 1,240-2,216)
Total combined cases missed by Xpert and smear	2,472 (95CI: 1,965-3,855)	1,827 (95%CI: 1,256-2,659)	4,569 (95%CI: 3,221-6,514)
Proportion of patients who had a negative Xpert that were empirically treated ²	30.6% (95%CI: 28.6-32.7)	22.7% (95%CI:19.8-25.9)	28.9 (95%CI: 27.2-30.6)
Negative Xpert / received empiric therapy	1,717 (95CI: 1,192-2,440) x .306 (95%CI: .286-.327) = 525 (95: 341-798)	1,237 (95%CI: 789-1,858) x .227 (95%CI: .198-259) = 281 (95%CI: 156-481)	806 (95%CI: 497-1,279)

Proportion of patients who had a negative smear that were empirically treated ²	58.9% (95%CI: 56.8-61.0)	39.2% (95%CI: 36.9-41.4)	50.1 (95%CI 48.5-51.6)
Negative smear / received empiric therapy	1,025 (95%CI: 773-1,415) x .589 (95%CI: .568-.610) = 604 (95%CI: 439-863)	590 (95%CI: 467-801) x .392% (95%CI: .369-.414) = 231 (95%CI: 172-332)	835 (95%CI: 612-1,195)
Total cases that were negative by Xpert or smear that were empirically treated	1,129 (95%CI: 780-1,661)	529 (95%CI: 329-813)	1,641 (95%CI: 1,109-2,474)
Total Missed cases (Total number of cases missed by Xpert or smear minus those were empirically treated)	1,613 (95%CI: 1,185-2,194)	1,315 (95%CI: 927-1,846)	2,928 (95%CI: 2,112-4,040)

¹Exact value from 2018 national TB laboratory register, ²Estimate from: individual-level TB notification data from 4 provinces in 2017, n=11,814 (unpublished), ³Estimate from: Horne DJ, Kohli M, Zifodya JS, et al. Xpert MTB/RIF and Xpert MTB/RIF Ultra for pulmonary tuberculosis and rifampicin resistance in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2019 Jun 7;6(6):CD009593.⁴Estimate from: Boehme CC, Nicol MP, Nabeta P, et al. Feasibility, diagnostic accuracy, and effectiveness of decentralised use of the Xpert MTB/RIF test for diagnosis of tuberculosis and multidrug resistance: a multicentre implementation study. Lancet 2011; 377:1495–505. ⁵Estimate from: Steingart KR, Henry M, Ng V, et al. Fluorescence versus conventional sputum smear microscopy for tuberculosis: a systematic review. Lancet Infect Dis 2006;6:570–81.

Table 2c. Estimation method for determining proportion of patients with pre-treatment lost-to-follow-up.

Variable	Overall
Unadjusted number of microbiologically-confirmed pulmonary TB cases ¹	19,285 (16,391 Xpert and 2,894 smear)
Proportion of patients with positive smear who also have a positive Xpert result ²	2.3% (95%CI 1.7-3.1)
Number of patients with positive smear who also have a positive Xpert result ²	2,894 x .023% (95%CI .017-.031) = 67 (95%CI: 49-90)
Adjusted number of microbiologically-confirmed PTB cases	(2,894 - 67 (95%CI: 49-90)) + 19,218 (95%CI: 19,195-19,236)
Number of patients with microbiologically-confirmed pulmonary TB notified in 2018 ³	17,456
Proportion of all patients with microbiologically-confirmed TB who were registered and started TB treatment	90.8 (95%CI: 90.7-90.9)
Pre-treatment lost-to-follow-up (LTFU) estimate:	100% - 90.8 (95%CI: 90.7-90.9) = 9.2% (95%CI: 9.1-9.3)

¹Exact value from 2018 nationally aggregated TB laboratory register, ²Estimate from: individual-level TB notification data from 4 provinces in 2017, n=11,814 (unpublished). ³Exact value from 2018 nationally aggregated TB notification register.

Table 3. Rifampicin resistant TB Care Cascade in Zambia in 2018

Variable	Cases, range	Proportion (%)	Estimation method	Calculation
Step 1. Overall TB burden	1,740 (486-4,014)	100	Overall TB burden multiplied by estimated proportion of cases with rifampicin resistance.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TB burden: 72,495 (40,495-111,495) • Overall estimate of RR-TB: 2.4% (95CI: 1.2-3.6)¹
Gap 1	830 (range, 0-2,921)	47.7	Step 1 estimated cases minus Step 2 estimated cases.	
Step 2. Accessed tests	910 (95%CI: 776-1,093)	52.3	Back calculated from RR tuberculosis cases diagnosed on the basis of cases bacteriologically diagnosed, by test type and test sensitivity.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RR-TB cases diagnosed: 627 • RR-TB cases missed: 283
Gap 2	283 (95%CI: 149-466)	16.3	Step 2 estimated cases minus Step 3 estimated cases.	
Step 3. Diagnosed with TB	627	36.0	Exact value from aggregated facility-level TB laboratory data.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All patients with microbiologically-confirmed RR-TB
Gap 3	118	6.8	Step 3 estimated cases minus Step 4 estimated cases.	
Step 4. Notified and treated for TB	509	29.3	Exact value from aggregated facility-level TB notification data.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All patients with RR-TB who were notified and started on treatment.
Gap 4	113	6.5	Step 4 estimated cases minus Step 5 estimated cases.	
Step 5. Successfully treated for TB	396	22.8	Exact value from aggregated facility-level TB treatment outcomes data.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of RR-TB who were notified and started on treatment who were successfully treated.

¹Estimate from: Kapata N, Mbulo G, Cobelens F, et al. The Second Zambian National Tuberculosis Drug Resistance survey - a comparison of conventional and molecular methods. *Trop Med Int Health*. 2015;20(11):1492-1500. This is the most recent Zambia national drug resistance survey. A higher estimate utilizing MDR-TB Plus chosen because it more closely coincides with WHO RR-TB incidence estimates for 2018.

Table 3b. Estimation method for determining number of patients with RR-TB who accessed TB testing in 2018

Variable	HIV-positive	HIV-negative	Overall, No
Number of laboratory-confirmed RR-cases	-	-	627
Proportion of RR-TB patients notified in 2018, by HIV-status. ¹	59.1% (95%CI: 54.6-63.6)	40.9% (95%CI: 36.4-45.4)	-
Number of RR-TB patients diagnosed in 2018, by HIV-status	627 x 59.1% (95%CI: 54.6-63.6) = 371 (95%CI: 342-399)	627 x 40.9% (95%CI: 36.4-45.4) = 256 (95%CI: 228-285)	627
Number of RR-cases detected by Xpert	-	-	372
Number of RR-cases detected by Xpert, by HIV-status	372 x 59.1% (95%CI: 54.6-63.6) = 220 (95%CI: 203-237)	372 x 40.9% (95%CI: 36.4-45.4) = 152 (95%CI: 135-169)	372
Combined sensitivity of Xpert for Rif-Resistance, by HIV status ²	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sensitivity of Xpert for TB: 81% (95%CI: 75% to 86%) • Sensitivity of Xpert for RIF-resistance: 96% (94% to 97%) • Overall sensitivity for RR-TB: 77.8% (95%CI 70.5-83.4) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sensitivity of Xpert for TB: 88% (95%CI: 83% to 92%) • Sensitivity of Xpert for RIF-resistance: 96% (94% to 97%) • Overall sensitivity for RIF-resist TB: 84.5% (95%CI 78.0-89.2) 	-
RR-cases missed by Xpert	220 (95%CI: 203-237) / .778 (95%CI .705-.834) - 220 = 63 (95%CI: 24-116)	152 (95%CI: 135-169) / .845 (95%CI .780-.892) - 152 = 28 (95%CI: 0-64)	91 (95%CI: 23-180)
Number of RR-cases detected by MDR-TB plus	-	-	135
Number of RR-cases detected by MDR-TB plus, by HIV-status	135 x 59.1% (95%CI: 54.6-63.6) = 80 (95%CI: 74-86)	135 x 40.9% (95%CI: 36.4-45.4) = 55 (95%CI: 49-61)	135
Combined sensitivity of MDR-TB plus* ³	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sensitivity of smear for TB: 50% (95%CI:42-57) • Sensitivity of culture for smear-positive TB: 100% • Sensitivity of MDR-TB plus: 96.9% (95%CI:95.5-98.0) • Overall sensitivity for RR-TB: 48.5% (95%CI: 40.1-55.9) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sensitivity of smear for TB: 76% (95%CI: 70-80) • Sensitivity of culture for smear-positive TB: 100% • Sensitivity of MDR-TB plus: 96.9% (95%CI:95.5-98.0) • Overall sensitivity for RR-TB: 73.6% (95%CI: 66.9-78.4) 	-
RR-cases missed by MDR-TB plus	80 (95%CI: 74-86) / .485 (95%CI: .401-.559) - 80 = 85 (95%CI: 52-134)	55 (95%CI: 49-61) / .736 (95%CI: .669-.784) - 55 = 20 (95%CI: 7-36)	105 (95%CI: 59-171)

Number of RR-cases detected by liquid culture (MGIT 960)* ⁴			120
Number of RR-cases detected by liquid culture (MGIT 960)* ⁴ , by HIV-status	120 x 59.1% (95%CI: 54.6-63.6) = 71 (95%CI: 66-76)	120 x 40.9% (95%CI: 36.4-45.4) = 49 (95%CI: 44-54)	120
Combined sensitivity of liquid culture	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sensitivity of smear for TB: 50% (95%CI:42-57) • Sensitivity of culture for smear-positive TB: 100% • Sensitivity of liquid culture for RR-TB: 99.2% (95%CI: 95.9-100) • Overall sensitivity for RR-TB: 49.6% (40.3-57.0) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sensitivity of smear for TB: 50% (95%CI:42-57) • Sensitivity of culture for smear-positive TB: 100% • Sensitivity of liquid culture for RR-TB: 99.2% (95%CI: 95.9-100) • Overall sensitivity for RR-TB: 75.4 (95%CI: 67.1-80.0) 	-
RR-cases missed by liquid culture	71 (95%CI: 66-76) / .496 (95%CI: .403-.570) – 71 = 72 (95%CI: 61-83)	43 (95%CI: 49-54) / .754 (95%CI: .671-.800) – 43 = 16 (95%CI: 6-32)	88 (95%CI: 67-115)
Total microbiologically-missed cases	63 (95%CI: 24-116) + 85 (95%CI: 52-134) + 72 (95%CI: 61-83) = 220 (95%CI: 137-333)	28 (95%CI: 0-64) + 20 (95%CI: 7-36) + 16 (95%CI: 6-32) = 64 (95%CI: 13-133)	283 (95%CI: 149-466)
Received empiric therapy*	0	0	0
Total Missed cases	220 (95%CI: 137-333)	64 (95%CI: 13-133)	283 (95%CI: 149-466)

¹Exact value from 2018 national TB laboratory register. ²Estimate from: Horne DJ, Kohli M, Zifodya JS, et al. Xpert MTB/RIF and Xpert MTB/RIF Ultra for pulmonary tuberculosis and rifampicin resistance in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2019 Jun 7;6(6):CD009593. ³Estimate from: WHO. The use of molecular line probe assays for the detection of resistance to isoniazid and rifampicin. Geneva: WHO; 2016. Available at: <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/250586/9789241511261-eng.pdf?sequence=1>. ⁴Estimated from: Tortoli E, Benedetti M, Fontanelli A, Simonetti MT. Evaluation of automated BACTEC MGIT 960 system for testing susceptibility of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* to four major antituberculous drugs: comparison with the radiometric BACTEC 460TB method and the agar plate method of proportion. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2002;40(2):607-610.

Table 4. Drug-susceptible TB Care Cascade among HIV-positive individuals in Zambia in 2018

Variable	Cases, range	Proportion (%), range	Estimation method	Calculation
Step 1. Overall TB burden	43,411 (23,911-65,911)	100	WHO 2019 analysis of TB incidence in 2017 plus 50% of the number of undetected cases from 2018. ¹	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TB incidence, 2018 (all): 36,000 (range, 23,000-51,000) • TB incidence, 2017 (all): 36,000 (range, 23,000-51,000) • Case detection rate, 2017: 58.8% (range, 41.5-92.1) • Estimated undetected cases 2017: 14,822 (range, 1,822-29,822) • 50% of undetected cases who have not died/self-cured: 7,411 (range, 911-14,911)
Gap 1	18,597 (0-40,495)	43.0	Step 1 estimated cases minus Step 2 estimated cases.	
Step 2. Accessed tests	24,746 (95%CI: 24,290-25,349)	57.0	<p>Add the number of missed cases of DS-TB among HIV-positive individuals to the total number of DS-TB cases diagnosed among HIV-positive individuals (step 3).</p> <p>Missed cases estimated based upon TB test sensitivity in HIV-positive individuals, corrected for the number of patients with negative TB tests who were empirically treated (Table 2b).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number diagnosed: 23,133 (95CI: 23,106-23,154) • Number missed (table 2b): 1,613 (95%CI: 1,185-2,194)
Gap 2	1,613 (95%CI: 1,185-2,194)	3.7	Step 2 estimated cases minus Step 3 estimated cases.	
Step 3. Diagnosed with TB	23,133 (95%CI: 23,106-23,154)	53.3	Back calculated from number of cases notified and proportion of patients lost-to-follow-up prior to initiation of TB therapy [see Table 2c]; [assumed to be the same independent of HIV-status].	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pre-treatment LTFU estimate: 9.2% (95%CI: 9.1-9.3) • Number of HIV-positive patients notified in 2018: 21,012 (95%CI: 20,962-21,064)
Gap 3	2,121 (95%CI: 2,094-2,142)	4.9	Step 3 estimated cases minus Step 4 estimated cases.	
Step 4. Notified and treated for TB	21,012 (95%CI: 20,962-21,064)	48.4	Exact value from aggregated facility-level TB notification data adjusted for proportion of patients without an HIV test.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DS-TB: 19,332 • Proportion of all notified patients who had an HIV test: 94.9% (95%CI: 94.6-95.1)

Gap 4	2,433 (95%CI: 2,337-2,529)	5.6	Step 4 estimated cases minus Step 5 estimated cases.	
Step 5. Successfully treated for TB	18,579 (95%CI: 18,535-18,625)	42.8	Exact value from aggregated facility-level TB treatment outcomes data (number successfully treated) adjusted for proportion of patients without an HIV test.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DS-TB: 17,624 • Proportion of all notified patients who had an HIV test: 94.9% (95%CI: 94.6-95.1)

¹Estimate from: World Health Organization. Tuberculosis data. Available from: <https://www.who.int/teams/global-tuberculosis-programme/data>.

Table 5. Drug-susceptible TB Care Cascade among HIV-negative individuals in Zambia in 2018

Variable	Cases, range	Proportion (%)	Estimation method	Calculation
Step 1. Overall TB burden	27,344 (16,098-41,570)	100	Total number of DS-TB cases minus number of DS-TB cases among HIV-positive individuals	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of DS-TB cases: 70,755 (range, 40,009-107,481) Number of HIV-positive DS-TB cases: 43,411 (23,911-65,911)
Gap 1	10,939 (98-24,620)	35.2	Step 1 estimated cases minus Step 2 estimated cases.	
Step 2. Accessed tests	17,731 (95%CI: 17,324-18,276)	64.8	Total number of DS-TB cases who accesses TB tests minus the number of DS-TB cases who accessed TB tests among HIV-positive individuals	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of DS-TB cases that accessed tests: 42,477 (95%CI: 41,614-43,625) Number of HIV-positive DS-TB cases diagnosed: 24,746 (95%CI: 24,290-25,349)
Gap 2	1,315 (95%CI: 927-1,846)	4.8	Step 2 estimated cases minus Step 3 estimated cases.	
Step 3. Diagnosed with TB	16,415 (95%CI: 16,395-16,431)	60.0	Total number of DS-TB cases diagnosed minus the number of DS-TB cases diagnosed among HIV-positive individuals	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of DS-TB cases diagnosed: 39,549 (95%CI: 39,501-39,585) Number of HIV-positive DS-TB cases diagnosed: 23,133 (95%CI: 23,106-23,154)
Gap 3	1,505 (95%CI: 1,486-1,520)	5.5	Step 3 estimated cases minus Step 4 estimated cases.	
Step 4. Notified and treated for TB	14,910 (95%CI: 14,858-14,960)	54.5	Total number of DS-TB cases notified minus the number of DS-TB cases among HIV-positive individuals notified	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of DS-TB cases notified: 35,922 Number of HIV-positive DS-TB cases notified: 21,012 (95%CI: 20,962-21,064)
Gap 4	1,185 (95%CI: 1,089-1,281)	4.3	Step 4 estimated cases minus Step 5 estimated cases.	
Step 5. Successfully treated for TB	13,725 (95%CI: 13,679-13,769)	50.2	Total number of DS-TB cases successfully treated minus the number of DS-TB cases among HIV-positive individuals successfully treated	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of DS-TB cases treated: 32,304 Number of HIV-positive DS-TB cases treated: 18,633 (95%CI: 18,535-18,725)